

**CITY OF BRIDGEPORT
CITY COUNCIL
PUBLIC SPEAKING SESSION
TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 18, 2014
6:30 PM**

ATTENDANCE: Council members: Brannelly, Torres, Banta, Taylor-Moye, Halstead, Swain, McCarthy, Salter, DeJesus, Martinez, Feliciano, Paoletto, Holloway

ABSENT: Council members: Austin, Lyons, Vizzo-Paniccia, McBride-Lee, Castillo, Marella, Martinez-Walker

Council President McCarthy called the public speaking session to order at 6:40 p.m.

The city clerk took the roll call and announced there was a quorum.

Please go to [www. SoundviewTV.org/Bridgeport](http://www.SoundviewTV.org/Bridgeport) to view or listen to the detailed comments that the speakers below addressed to the City Council on February 4, 2014.

❖ *Statements were submitted to the city clerk's office.*

THE FOLLOWING NAMED PERSON HAS REQUESTED PERMISSION TO ADDRESS THE CITY COUNCIL ON TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 18, 2014 AT 6:30 P.M., IN THE CITY COUNCIL CHAMBERS, CITY HALL, 45 LYON TERRACE, BRIDGEPORT, CT.

NAME

SUBJECT

*Ethan Book
144 Coleman Street
Bridgeport, CT 06604

The matter of the late Adelaida Coriano.

**waived his comments for the public speaking session.*

Cecil C. Young
99 Carroll Avenue
Bridgeport, CT 06607

Follow-up on unjust termination case.

Mr. Young mentioned the movies House of Cards and 12 Years a Slave to point out that these movies had to do with what he's been going through. He stated that he wasn't satisfied with the court ruling regarding his termination. He asked that the city council pull up the records to prove that he was at work on March 17, 2006. He said he hoped that the

city council will practice what they preach when it comes time for residents to vote. He recalled that he was involved in public service for twenty-seven years and the most he can expect is that due process take place and to be treated equal. He emphasized that his termination issue shouldn't be based on hypothetical and should be based on fact. He repeated that the matter of his unjust termination was a violation as an American citizen. He commented that he hasn't received any response in reference to his termination, noting that he has spent thousands in court costs and provided them with doctor notes and medical bills. He further mentioned that he has been seeing a psychologist for the last seven years. He stressed that it shouldn't be so hard to do the right thing and again, he hoped the council will respond to find out what he was really terminated for. He reiterated that he was looking for his right to due process.

John Marshall Lee
30 Beacon Street
Bridgeport, CT 06605

City Financial Reporting.

Comments to City Council – February 18, 2014

Council President McCarthy, Council persons and interested members of the Bridgeport community. Two weeks ago I talked about the June 2013 monthly financial report issued to you in January 2014, based on audited results for the 2013 fiscal year. I called it **historic** because for the first time in over 20 years the City had provided a 12 month record of the Operating Budget to the taxpaying public. Of course the Charter states that this is what is in order, but annual compliance had been avoided for one reason or another. It showed a surplus of less than \$200,000 in the operating budget of a little more than \$511 Million including basic Education budget, but almost \$145 Million more than this was actually spent because of Operating Grants and contributions to the City and for Education. These were not budgeted by you, and not reviewed by you in any regular way. Is this what serious watchdogs would allow to happen? \$145 Million is a lot of money.

I mentioned the revenue surplus of \$500,000 in the CASH ACCOUNT of the Controllers department and asked where it originated. Half a Million dollars is ten times the budget estimate. Has anyone discovered how it came to be? After all, it would seem enough to pay off much of the expense of the Stratford Airport access way construction, legal expense, etc. Just think if it were to become an annual event, it would be most welcome wouldn't it? In six weeks when you begin to review the proposed 2014-15 budget you will be looking for revenue sources. Ask the question, please? Will you also share the answer with the public?

You received the CAFR for the 2013 year about one month ago. There is much good info in the document. On page 32 City budgetary procedures are outlined relative to the General Fund Budget. You might want to consult your copy.

- It says that you should expect both the Operating Budget and the Capital budgets no later than 120 days before July 1. (Last year the budget was released on April 2

if memory serves me. Is that an example of City actual process missing expectations or being in violation of the Charter?)

- The Mayor shall submit to you with the proposed budgets, a certificate that the budget is consistent with a three-year financial plan, and which states that operating within the budget is feasible. (I do not remember any mention or reference to this certificate, nor do I remember comments or discussions about a three year plan, do any of you? And what is a standard of feasibility when CAFRs for the past three years have reported that public safety employees have overexpended budgets for the past three years? Last year Police, Fire and Emergency Operation budgets showed deficits totaling \$8 Million and that is after significant provision for various types of Overtime allowances. Are you monitoring this closely? What is the deficit variance in those departments through six or seven months this year? Or are you counting on Chief Nardozzi to do OT work singlehanded? And who works with Fire? And what causes such large excess overtime in the Fire Department? Do you know?
- The CAFR then states:: “Expenditures may not legally exceed appropriations at the department level.” What does that mean when Public Safety employees are still exceeding the appropriated amounts? Will you ask for an explanation?
- On Page 34 is a statement about Special Revenue Funds....”***The City does not have legally adopted annual budgets for its special revenue funds. Budgets for the Special Revenue funds that are utilized to account for specific grant programs are established in accordance with the requirements of the grantor agencies. Such budgets are no lapsing and may comprise more than one fiscal year.*** OK are we talking about funds for Capital projects where the Council gets to vote once and is never consulted again with progress and fund balances? Are we talking about \$40 Million of purchases spent by the City last year that are not in the budget you prepare but which you may authorize one evening with little or no backup material and then never see or hear about again? Do you want to continue this charade and pretend that you are seriously providing oversight to taxpayer funds and City expenditure of same? Are you happy with rubber stamp legislation?

I believe the tide is turning in Bridgeport. More people are asking questions and expecting common sense answers and specific information. You need to slow down your approvals when the info is inadequate. Times are changing in Bridgeport. The fiscal cupboard is bare. Postponing a mandated revaluation is a sign of political weakness. The revaluation was ordered by the City, completed by the appraiser and paid for according to the budget. Yet most of us have not seen the news. Why not? Will we see our own values?

It will be the immediate task of the next Mayor after the election in 2015 to deal with the secrecy of the current administration. Will you be part of reform now or not? Ask questions? Seek answers. I am available to assist your learning although your rules limit my face time with you to five minutes at City Council meetings. Change your rules and let the public observe your work with commentary in all Committee meetings. Time will tell.

John Marshall Lee
30 Beacon Street
Bridgeport, CT 06605
203 259-9642 Daytime

The following persons signed up to speak prior to the public speaking session:

Ernest Newton

Mr. Newton stated that it was rare that he came before the city council to speak. However, he said he was there because he read a recent article about the Parks Commission only being an advisory committee. He stated that it should be clear what the Parks Commission is. He recalled that when Bridgeport didn't have money and before the state could think about buying Beardsley Park, it had to be approved by the Parks Commission, so in his opinion; this doesn't sound like an advisory committee to him. He further stated that the city council had the responsibility to ask questions, because when they don't, things happen and as a legislative body, he said they need to ask questions that others don't. He went on to say that the Parks Commission was created for the Park City and Bridgeport is known by that name for a reason. He stated that they needed to research and find out anything else that may be designated incorrectly. He said that he had an issue with the idea that the Parks Commission is a made up commission and he has never seen an instance where the city council had the right to overrule them. He emphasized that they should take the initiative to sit down with the Parks Commission before the council approves anything.

James Roy

Mr. Roy spoke about the land sell of Beardsley. He said there were many meetings with the city and state attorneys and in his opinion, as someone who had a deciding vote; he was adamant that they do (Parks Commission) have the sole authority over the sale or lease of park land. He emphasized that it was important to clarify the matter for the good of the city.

The public speaking session ended at 7:00 p.m.

CITY OF BRIDGEPORT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING

TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 18, 2014

7:00 PM

City Council Chambers, City Hall - 45 Lyon Terrace

Bridgeport, Connecticut

ATTENDANCE: Council members: Brannelly, Torres, Banta, Taylor-Moye, Halstead, Swain, McCarthy, Lyons, Salter, DeJesus, Castillo, Martinez, Feliciano, Paoletto, Martinez-Walker, Holloway

ABSENT: Council members: Austin, Vizzo-Paniccia, McBride-Lee, Marella

Mayor Finch called the meeting to order at 7:05 pm.

Prayer - Council member Salter offered the prayer.

Pledge of Allegiance - Council member Halstead.

Announcement:

Council President McCarthy announced that the following council members were absent due to illness: Council member Vizzo-Paniccia and McBride Lee.

Roll Call - the Assistant City Clerk took the roll call and announced there was a quorum.

09-13 Public Hearing re: Disposition and Redevelopment of City-Owned Property located at 1752 Barnum Avenue.

Mayor Finch asked if there was anyone present to speak in favor of the item.

Mayor Finch asked if there was anyone to speak against the item.

Hearing none, the public hearing was closed.

10-13 Public Hearing re: Disposition and Redevelopment of City-Owned Property at 431-435 & 445-449 Kossuth Street.

Mayor Finch asked if there was anyone present to speak in favor of the item.

- A speaker present asked if this hearing included the (17 or 35) properties that were previously listed. Mayor Finch responded that the item only pertained to the properties and addresses outlined on the agenda. He further commented that the properties will be put to a higher and best use for tax purposes.

Mayor Finch asked if there was anyone to speak against the item.
Hearing none, the public hearing was closed.

13-13 Public Hearing re: Proposed Resolution concerning a Ground Lease Agreement with United Illuminating Company to facilitate the construction of a Solar Electricity-Generating Facility on the Landfill near Seaside Park and the construction of a Fuel Cell Facility on Adjacent Land.

Mayor Finch asked if there was anyone present to speak in favor of the item.

Reverend Olson stated that he had an issue with whether or not the proposal would benefit the city. He clarified that he was present tonight to represent his position only. He went on to relay information from a statement he read regarding fuel cell energy and other alternative forms of energy. He expressed that he felt some of the current types of energy ultimately result in doing damage to the environment. Overall, he said he thought the Bridgeport was on the way to clean green energy, which eventually would lead to more economic development for the city.

Mayor Finch asked if there was anyone to speak against the item.

**Please note the summarized comments below reflect the opinions of those persons that spoke that were in favor of certain aspects of the project and/or against the project:*

- A speaker that resides at 298 Prospect Street stated that they weren't opposed to the fuel cell proposal, however; they were opposed to it being built in the park. They felt that there are other landfills that would be more appropriate and they didn't feel that a park that was supposed to be for the people should be mixed with this type of facility.
- Mr. Robinson, 566 Wood Avenue stated that he remembered the days when they had kerosene lamps and coal stoves, but now they have modern technology and they need to decide what is good for the people. He said that they need to focus on what's best for the people and he thought solar energy proposal is great, noting that some agree and some don't. He went on to say that we will never agree on everything and he expressed that we need solar energy. He further emphasized that it would be good for the City of Bridgeport to move forward and embrace new technology.

- Charlie Gulotta mentioned that he participated in the Park Commission meeting last night when they voted down the solar energy proposal. He referred to the city attorney's previous comment regarding the facility being on park land and who subsequently notified the Park Commission. Mr. Gulotta questioned what happened in between the statement that the facility would be on park land versus near park land.
- Mr. Donald stated that everyone is in favor of clean energy, however; he recalled that there was an objection by Council member Torres about what it would cost to clean up the site. He said he felt that the charter was crystal clear that park land can not be leased or sold without the approval of the Parks Commission and there needs to be a two-thirds vote of the city council to approve it. He stated that they have to follow the rules and he felt that until the rules are followed, they will never be successful with economic development.
- Joel Gonzales stated that during 1995, he was elected to the city council for the 135th district. He relayed that one of the first issues that was taken up was the Seaside Park landfill that wasn't technically closed at that time. He recalled that Council members: Martinez, Marella, Holloway and Mayor Finch were serving on the council during this time. He stated that around 1996/1997 there was a deal that the landfill would be closed and capped for good for a three year period. However, he said that no one knows what was really done during those three years. He emphasized that it was appalling that they will enter into a negotiation for a solar panel deal without the landfill being officially opened. He was adamant that the landfill was previously closed. Mayor Finch responded that they can't open a landfill in the State of Connecticut, because it's against the law.
- A speaker that resides at 423 Park Avenue stated that he was surprised to hear so much anger about things that have nothing to do with the project going forward. He clarified that the landfill will never be able to be used for anything other than a capped landfill. He specified that there's decaying concrete and other contaminated materials on the property that could make people ill. He also mentioned the visual impact, noting that these issues are conversations that need to be had. He stressed that we need to open our minds to consider who they will turn the land over to in twenty years. He questioned if it should then be another landfill or should it be used to bring in new business.
- Ms. Simard spoke about the ground lease in reference to her concern that the city will assume all liability for the facility – *she referred to and read Section-10-D of the lease* and stated that she felt that it would be a mistake to hand over land that they won't have any recourse in the future would be a mistake. She added that she didn't feel the revenue that would be generated outweighed the liability to the city.
- Ethan Book spoke about the need for greater scrutiny of the constitution laws and ordinances as they related to the recent ruling of the Parks Commission. He noted that the city has already established a Parks Commission and he found it baffling that the city council has chosen to disregard them. He further mentioned the rights

of the people, as well as the limits of those rights. He emphasized that the city council should listen very carefully to the concerns of the Parks Commission.

- Diane Lentakis stated she was present to speak on behalf of the Sierra Club. She said she was in support of the proposal and she applauded Mayor Finch for bringing the proposal to Bridgeport and investing in clean energy to clean up the area, lower bills. She said it was a good thing to move beyond the use of coal. She once again applauded the efforts and wished the project well.
- Ed Cilio stated that as someone that moved here from another state, he decided that Bridgeport was a city he wanted to move to. He expressed that the thought the people wanted to see progress made in the city. He mentioned that the fact they will take a dump and put in a solar energy facility was a good thing and he personally was excited about the project.
- Hector Diaz stated that he lives across from the site where the solar panels are proposed. He said that he had a concern about the visual effect on the homes. He expressed that his biggest fear is that once the door is open, it will become a floodgate. He relayed that the park was developed for people to enjoy and he thought this project was a step towards privatization where it will allow outsiders to come in and develop and take from the people and the city. He emphasized that it has become an issue to start project after project without completing any of them. And they need to think about what they are doing for the residents. He emphasized that the council members should consider the residents.
- Roberta Paro stated that she was a member of the Sierra Club, noting that it is the largest grass roots organization in the United States. She said that they work to support and develop more renewable energy sources that will promote clean air, so solar array was supported at Seaside Park. She said they were committed to working with the city and the state to encourage clean air projects. She further noted the high cases of respiratory illnesses associated with coal facilities. She said she looked forward to working with Bridgeport on the Clean Green 2020 Initiative.
- Debra Dulles stated that she was supportive of green energy. However, she asked that the project be moved somewhere else and not at the park. She expressed that to have a fuel cell facility put in would mean more noise across the water and would have an effect on the visual that she currently enjoys. She asked to just let it be.
- Jennifer Buchannan said she was in favor of solar renewable energy; however, she isn't in favor of having it in the park. She recalled that when Mayor Finch spoke at PURA, the landfill section was referred to and recognized as a park. She commented that at the time, she was in favor of the project, but when she researched more, she found that it wouldn't be good for Bridgeport because United Illuminating made a statement that the solar facility would increase the resident's bill. She said it should be put in a zoned industrial area and not in the park.
- Mary Filo's (*see below*) 9-year old daughter spoke and expressed that if someone gave you a real special gift and then that gift is taken away, how would we feel. She

further expressed that it isn't right to do the project in Seaside Park because the park was a gift given to us by the Lord and it should stay that way.

- Mary Filo commented that everyone is for solar energy, but she was against putting it in the park. She stated that the park was given to us for people to go and enjoy. She recalled that P.T. Barnum gave the park to us to preserve and if he felt that he wanted to do otherwise, he would have. She further felt that the city has an obligation to leave it as it was given. She stressed that she wants to see solar panel energy, just somewhere else.
- Paul Timpanelli, of the Greater Bridgeport Regional Council encouraged the city council to vote in favor of the project. He said it wasn't a question of whether or not the project will have a visual impact or whether the land is park land etc. He added that although all are legitimate questions, they need to consider Bridgeport's most essential problems and challenges regarding taxpayer growth and jobs. And in order to address these issues, he said they need to implement economic development and this project will result in making Bridgeport a successful city.
- A speaker named Katie stated that she didn't understand why they were still discussing the matter when the Parks Commission voted unanimously not to approve the project. She relayed the sentimental reasons why the park meant a lot to her and she commented that Seaside Park is a gem park in the city. She said the matter needs to be thought through. And although she is in favor of solar energy, she felt that another area for the facility should be considered. She said they need to think about what they will allow to happen in the city and this project isn't for us.
- A speaker present said they initially came to listen, but she felt compelled to speak due to the fact that there's a charter in place that reads that the matter requires a two-thirds vote by the city council, noting then that is what's supposed to happen. She said they need to look at three issues tonight and based on that, they should follow procedures and do their job right.
- Peter McKnight mentioned the sea levels that are rising. He thought that solar panel energy would be a good start.
- Onte Johnson stated he was a member of the Sierra Club. He expressed that he was confused why people were having an issue with the so called park land when it hasn't been used at all in the last few years. He mentioned that renewable energy will bring in revenue; create jobs and generate 2.2 megawatts of energy. Overall, he said it's an important project and he was in support of it.
- Eva Canales said they must follow the law and not make up the law. She emphasized that if the Parks Commission says no, it's no.
- A Black Rock resident mentioned three things relevant to the project; 1) solar energy is a way to differentiate Bridgeport from other towns 2) they should maintain Seaside Park as an asset and having open space is helpful to all 3) if they build solar panels, they will need to be surrounded by a lot of barbed wire that would

cause a hazard to the public. She said that although she was in support of solar energy, she doesn't want it in that location.

- o Linda Brillstein stated that she was committed to living in a green city. She mentioned that she was present to vote at the Parks Commission meeting and they decided that Seaside Park should not be used for a solar facility.

Mayor Finch clarified that P.T. Barnum was dead before that part of the park was filled in and it was **never** used as park land and it will never be used as park land. He explained that the property was chosen because it was the only closed landfill in the city. He further stated that development on closed landfills is becoming more common in different parts of the country. He expressed that although he appreciated the emotions of the people that spoke tonight, there is no other appropriate space in the city for the project. He pointed out that a solar facility is generally not constructed in an industrial area. He urged everyone to support the project to help bring the city into the next century.

Council member Torres stated that for most of the south end and Captains Cove was previously marsh land. He noted that Seaside was as park during the 1940's and 1950's and it was regrettable what the city did during the 1960's and 1970's. He stated that the landfill was created on the land and it should never have been done.

Council member Halstead stated that he understands the landfill used to be a dump, however; the fact that it's park land and there to enjoy the view of Long Island Sound and Black Rock is an asset.

Council member Taylor-Moye stated that Seaside Park is in her district. She relayed that although she listened to many good points about wanting solar energy, she was also getting mixed signals in regard to what the residents really want. She emphasized that no one used that portion of the park. She recalled that although Ms. Filo's young daughter expressed that the land was a gift, she stressed that it's not a gift for a child. She said her concern is for the children to ensure that something is placed there that is vital and would benefit the city.

Council member Swain expressed that there were great speakers and comments on both sides, but she felt that the city council should follow the rules. Mayor Finch stated that the city council shared that enthusiasm.

Hearing none, the public hearing was closed.

MINUTES FOR APPROVAL:

Approval of City Council Minutes: January 6, 2014

**** COUNCIL PRESIDENT McCARTHY MOVED TO ACCEPT THE MINUTES**

**** COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ SECONDED**

**** MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY**

COMMUNICATIONS TO BE REFERRED TO COMMITTEES:

- 32-13** Mayor Finch announced that the following item had been **withdrawn**:
Communication from Mayor re: Appointment of Ricardo Griffith (D) to the WPCA Commission, referred to Miscellaneous Matters Committee.

**** COUNCIL PRESIDENT McCARTHY MOVED TO REFER THE REMAINING COMMUNICATIONS TO BE REFERRED TO COMMITTEES**

**** COUNCIL MEMBER PAOLETTO SECONDED**

- 26-13** Communication from City Attorney re: Proposed Settlement of Pending Litigation with Gregory Jetter, referred to Miscellaneous Matters Committee.
- 27-13** Communication from OPED re: Proposed Resolution concerning the Disposition and Redevelopment of City-Owned Property to Achievement First Bridgeport Academy Elementary School and Request to Order a Public Hearing Relative to the Same, referred to Economic and Community Development and Environment Committee.
- 28-13** Communication from Central Grants re: Grant Submission: 2013 Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Assistance to Firefighters Grant (AFG) for Fire Station Alerting System (#14436), referred to Public Safety and Transportation Committee.
- 29-13** Communication from Central Grants re: Grant Submission: 2013 Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Assistance to Firefighters Grant (AFG) for SCBA Cylinders and Assemblies (#14345), referred to Public Safety and Transportation Committee.
- 30-13** Communication from Mayor re: Appointment of John "Jack" Calcutt (R) to the Zoning Board of Appeals Commission, referred to Miscellaneous Matters Committee.
- 31-13** Communication from Mayor re: Appointment of Thi Nguyen (U) to the WPCA Commission, referred to Miscellaneous Matters Committee.
- 32-13** Communication from Mayor re: Appointment of Ricardo Griffith (D) to the WPCA Commission, referred to Miscellaneous Matters Committee. - **WITHDRAWN**
- 33-13** Communication from Mayor re: Appointment of Mack Henry Allen Jr. (D) to the Ethics Commission, referred to Miscellaneous Matters Committee.

- 34-13** Communication from Deputy Chief Administrative Officer Citi-Stat re: Proposed Amendments to the Municipal Code of Ordinances, Chapter 3.08 City Contract and Purchasing Procedures, amend Sections 3.08.070 Purchasing Procedure and Section 3.08.090 Disqualifications of vendors from doing business with the City-Procedure, referred to Ordinance Committee.
- 35-13** Communication from Central Grants re: Grant Submission: National Fish & Wildlife Foundation for 2013 Hurricane Sandy Coastal Resiliency Competitive Grant, referred to Economic and Community Development and Environment Committee.
- 36-13** Communication from Central Grants re: Grant Submission: State Department of Economic & Community Development Special Act Grant for the Black Rock Business District Improvement Project, referred to Economic and Community Development and Environment Committee.
- 37-13** Communication from Deputy Chief Administrative Officer Citi-Stat re: Proposed Amendments to the Municipal Code of Ordinances, Chapter 15.08 Building Permits and Fees, amend Sections 15.08.010 Building permit and related fees and Section 15.08.020 Building permits to be withheld due to delinquent taxes and user fees, referred to Ordinance Committee.
- 38-13** Communication from Deputy Chief Administrative Officer Citi-Stat re: Proposed Amendments to the Municipal Code of Ordinances, Chapter 3.12 Equal Opportunity Requirements for Contractors, amend Section 3.12.130 Minority Business Enterprise Program, referred to Ordinance Committee.
- 39-13** Communication from Deputy Chief Administrative Officer Citi-Stat re: Proposed Amendments to the Municipal Code of Ordinances, Chapter 8.76 Anti-Blight Program, amend Sections 8.76.020 Definitions, Section 8.76.040 Enforcement and adding New Section 8.76.052 Allocation of Capital Gain referred to Ordinance Committee.

**** MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY**

MATTERS TO BE ACTED UPON (CONSENT CALENDAR):

Mayor Finch asked if there were any items to be removed from the consent calendar. There were none heard.

The Assistant City Clerk read the consent calendar items into the record:

- *23-13** Contracts Committee Report re: Resolution concerning Tax Cooperation Agreement with Housing Authority regarding Maplewood Court Apartments.

***25-13** Contracts Committee Report re: Lease-Purchase Agreement with PNC Equipment Finance, LLC for a Tractor at D. Fairchild Wheeler Golf Course.

***24-13** Budget & Appropriations Committee Report re: Approval of Tax Anticipation Notes to Pay Current Expenses and Obligations of the City (\$100,000,000).

**** COUNCIL MEMBER PAOLETTO MOVED TO APPROVE**

**** COUNCIL MEMBER MARTINEZ SECONDED**

**** MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY**

MATTERS TO BE ACTED UPON:

13-13 Contracts Committee Report re: Resolution concerning Ground Lease with United Illuminating Company to facilitate the construction of a Solar Electricity-Generating Facility on the Landfill near Seaside Park and the construction of a Fuel Cell Facility on Adjacent Land.

**** COUNCIL PRESIDENT McCARTHY MOVED TO TABLE**

**** COUNCIL MEMBER PAOLETTO SECONDED**

**** MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY**

Other business:

Point of personal privilege

Council member Brannelly thanked all the speakers that spoke in support for or against item **13-13**. She stated that she was disappointed by lack of public at the Parks Commission meeting last night. She stated that if the item had been voted on tonight, she would have voted against it due to the determination of the Parks Commission.

**** COUNCIL MEMBER SWAIN MOVED TO SUSPEND THE RULES FOR THE PURPOSE OF REFERRING AN ITEM TO COMMITTEE**

**** COUNCIL MEMBER PAOLETTO SECONDED**

**** MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY**

**** COUNCIL MEMBER SWAIN MOVED TO REFER RE: ORLANDO LOPEZ v. MORALES, et al., 13-CV-127 (AWT) TO THE MISCELLANEOUS MATTERS**

**COMMITTEE FOR DISCUSSION, REVIEW AND POSSIBLE APPROVAL OF
SETTLEMENT**

- ** COUNCIL MEMBER PAOLETTO SECONDED**
- ** MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY**

ADJOURNMENT

- ** COUNCIL MEMBER PAOLETTO MOVED TO ADJOURN**
- ** COUNCIL PRESIDENT McCARTHY SECONDED**
- ** MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY**

The meeting adjourned at 8:20 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Diane Graham
Telesco Secretarial Services